

ISSN 2617– 2909 (print)
ISSN 2617– 2119 (online)


Geology, Geography and *Journal of* Geoecology

<http://geology-dnu-dp.ua>

2020 /29(2)



Columnar basalts




Hazard of
petrochemical
pollution



Failures
within the mine



Ecosystems in
the landscapes



Geology, Geography and Journal of Geoecology

<http://geology-dnu-dp.ua>

2020 / 29(2)

The main aim of the Journal of Geology, Geography and Geoecology is to publish high quality research works and provide Open Access to the articles using this platform. Collection of scientific works publishes refereed original research articles and reviews on various aspects in the field of geological, geographical and geoecological sciences. Journal materials designed for teachers, researchers and students specializing in the relevant or related fields of science. Journal included in the list of professional publications, you can publish the main results of dissertations for the degree of doctor and candidate of geological sciences. The scope of distribution: international scientific journal. All published articles will be assigned DOI provided by Cross Ref.

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-Chief:

Associate professor, Ph.D., **Manyuk Volodymyr**, Assoc. Prof. of Department of Earth Sciences, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine;
E-mail: vgeoman@gmail.com;
tel.: +067 947 45 04; +095 824 61 77.

Deputy Editors:

Professor, Dr. hab., **Andrzej Tomasz Solecki**, Scientific Head of the team of WS Atkins-Polska Sp. z o.o. experts preparing the report on uranium metallogeny, Institute of Geological Sciences University of Wrocław, Wrocław University, market and prospects in Poland for the Polish Ministry of the Environment, Poland; E-mail: andrzej.solecki@ing.uni.wroc.pl; tel. +48 600 96 63 61.

Professor **Şaşmaz Ahmet**, Dr. Sc. in environmental geochemistry and mining deposits, Head of Geology Department, Firat University, Elazığ, Turkey;
E-mail: sasmaz@gmail.com; tel. +90 424-2370000.

Executive Editor:

Professor, Dr. Sc., **Sherstyuk Natalya Petrivna**, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Head of Department of Earth Sciences, Faculty of Chemistry, Dnipro, Ukraine;
E-mail: sherstuknp@gmail.com; tel.+38-096-124-15-35.

Members of the editorial board:

Professor (mult.), Dr. hab., **Harald G. Dill**, Dr.h.c in economic geology (additional focal disciplines: applied sedimentology/ geomorphology, technical mineralogy), Gottfried-Wilhelm-Leibniz University, Mineralogical Department, Hannover, Germany.
E-mail: h.geo.dill@gmx.de; tel. +49-(0) 511 643 2361.

Professor in Biostratigraphy-Micropaleontology, D.Sc., **Karoui – Yaakoub Narjess**, Carthage University, Faculty of Science of Bizerte (Department of Earth Science), Jarzoura, Bizerte, Tunisia
E-mail: narjess.elkarouiyaakoub@fsb.rnu.tn.

Research Fellow **William A.P. Wimbledon**, Dept. of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol; Member Geological Society of London's Conservation Committee, Member of Berriasian (Jurassic-Cretaceous) Working Group (International Subcommission on Cretaceous Stratigraphy); E-mail: williamwimbledon@gmail.com

Professor in Geology, Dr.Sc., **José Bernardo Rodrigues Brilha**, University of Minho, Department of Earth Science, Braga, Portugal, E-mail: jose.brilha@gmail.com;
tel. +351-25-3604306.

Ph.D., MSc., **Afsoon Moatari-Kazerouni**, Geology Lecturer Geology Department - Rhodes University Grahamstown, Eastern Cape, South Africa, E-mail: afsoon.moatari@gmail.com;
tel: +27 (0)46-603-8618

Professor in Geology, Dr.Sc., **Abderrazak El Albani**, Université de Poitiers, Laboratory IC2MP, Poitiers, France, E-mail: abder.albani@univ-poitiers.fr; tel. +33 (0)5.49.45 39 26

Associate Professor, **Hya V. Buynovich**, Temple University, College of Science and Technology Department of Earth and Environmental Science, Philadelphia, USA, E-mail: coast@temple.edu, tel: 215-204-3635

Prof., Dr. Sc., **Mokritskaya Tatiana**, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Ukraine; Faculty of Chemistry, E-mail: mokritska@i.ua; tel.: 098 257 70 19.

Prof., Dr. Sc., **Yevhrashkina Galina Petrivna**, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Faculty of Chemistry, Ukraine; E-mail: galina.evgrashkina@gmail.com; tel.: 067 565 51 13

Prof., Dr. Sc., **Reynard Emmanuel**, Prof. of physical geography at the University of Lausanne, Faculty of geosciences, Switzerland; E-mail: emmanuel.reynard@unil.ch;

Associate Prof., Dr.Sc., **Afroz Ahmad Shan**, Assoc. Prof. of Structural Geology with the Faculty of Science, Department of Petroleum Geology University of Brunei Darussalam, Brunei; E-mail: afroz.shah@gmail.com;

Prof., Dr. Sc., **Gerasimenko Natalia**, Department of Earth Sciences and Geomorphology Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine; E-mail: n.garnet2@gmail.com

Ajin R.S., M. Sc. Hazard analyst, Idukki District Emergency Operations Centre (Idukki-DEOC), Idukki District Disaster Management Authority (Idukki DDMA), Department of Disaster Management, Government of Kerala, Painavu, Idukki, Kerala (State), INDIA, tel. +91-9061762170, E-mail: ajinares@gmail.com, ajinares@hotmail.com

Associate professor, PhD **Anatoliy Melnychuk**, Assoc. Prof. of Department of Economic and Social Geography Taras Shevchenko Kyiv National University, Kyiv, Ukraine; E-mail: melan97@ukr.net

Prof., Dr. Sc., **Baranov Volodymyr**, Head of Lab. Invest. Structural changes in rock, Senior Researcher Institute of Geotechnical Mechanics of NAS of Ukraine, Department of Geology and exploration of mineral deposits SHEI "National Mining University," Ukraine; E-mail: baranov-va@rambler.ru; tel.: +38 097 506 43 73.

Prof., Dr. Sc. **Berezovsky Anatolii**, Dean of Mining - Processing Faculty, Kriviy Rig Technical University, Ukraine; E-mail: berez@mail.ru; tel.: +38 098236 84 27.

Associate professor, Ph.D., **Maniuk Vadym**, Assoc. Prof. of Department of Geography Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine; E-mail: zapovidna.sich@gmail.com; tel.: +38 098 290 80 69; +38 066 270 14 48.

Professor, Dr. Sc., **Kroik Anna Arkadyevna**, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Dnipro, Ukraine; E-mail: no-name2001@yandex.ru; tel.: +38 095 149 65 50.

Professor, Dr. Sc., **Prikhodchenko Vasiliy Fedorovich**, Dean of the Faculty of exploration, Head. Department of Geology and mineral exploration National TU Dnipro Polytechnic; Dnipro, Ukraine; E-mail: pvfvpvf@meta.ua; tel.: +38 056 24 7 33 52.

Professor, Dr. Sc., **Lurie Anatolii**, V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Ukraine; E-mail: hydrogeology@karazin.ua; tel.: +38 067 579 89 41.

Prof., Dr. Sc., **Zelenska Lyubov Ivanivna**, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Head of Department of geography, Dnipro, Ukraine; E-mail: lyubov.zelenska@gmail.com; tel.: 067 56067 02

Prof., Dr. Sc., **Shevchyuk Viktor Vasylievych**, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Ukraine; E-mail: kzg@univ.kiev.ua; tel.: +38 050 656 33 20.

Prof., Dr. Sc., **Baranov Petro M.**, Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, Ukraine; Faculty of Chemistry, E-mail: baranov_pn@bk.ru; tel.: +38 097 291 68 13.

Literary editors: P.V. Bradbeer, M.O. Tikhomyrov.

Cover design: Vadym V. Manyuk.


Text Layout: Vadym V. Manyuk.

Information about publication: Journal of Geology, Geography and Geoecology. (ISSN 2617-2909 (print), ISSN2617-2119 (online). Complete information on the requirements for the publication of copyright articles in the collection can be found on the website of the journal www.geology-dnu.dp.ua or by addressing the **Editor-in-Chief** Volodymyr Manyuk at vgeoman@gmail.com.

In accordance with the Order of the Ministry of Education and Science of January 15, 2018, №32 «Journal of Geology, Geography and Geoecology» December 18, 2018 is included in category A «List of professional publications of Ukraine» by specialties 103 (Earth Sciences) and 106 (Geography)

Approved by the Decision of the Scientific Council of the Oles Honchar Dnipro National University, 72 Gagarin ave., Dnipro, 49010, Ukraine.

© Oles Gonchar Dnipro National University, 2020



Journal of Geology, Geography and Geoecology

Journal home page: geology-dnu-dp.ua

ISSN 2617-2909 (print)
ISSN 2617-2119 (online)

Journ. Geol. Geograph.
Geology,
29(2), 422–430.

doi: [10.15421/112038](https://doi.org/10.15421/112038)

Petro S. Voitkiv, Yevhen A. Ivanov

Journ. Geol. Geograph. Geoecology, 29(2), 422–430.

Specifics of bulk chemical composition of virgin forest cambisols within the Ukrainian Carpathians

Petro S. Voitkiv, Yevhen A. Ivanov

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Lviv, Ukraine, voitkivpetro@gmail.com; eugen_ivanov@email.ua

Received: 25.11.2019

Received in revised form: 11.12.2019

Accepted: 10.05.2020

Abstract. Material composition is one of the most vital components of soil analysis and it which allows to determine the bulk or elemental composition, to get an insight into the total content of chemical elements per the genetic horizons of a soil profile against the soil-forming rock, and to identify the direction of soil formation processes, that is, to establish

the genesis of soils. The study objective supposed both the identification of bulk chemical composition (BCC) specifics peculiar to cambisols (acc. the WRB) located beneath different virgin forest ecosystems and the change caused by the composition of soil-forming rock, specifics of mountainous terrain and climatic conditions. The study subject is cambisol of virgin (beech and coniferous) ecosystems formed at the eluvium-deluvium flysch with prevailing sandstones, argillites and siltstones. The study scope is bulk chemical composition of beech and coniferous forest cambisols within the Ukrainian Carpathians and its transformation. Comparative-geographical, comparative-profile, analytical and statistical methods have been used accounting for the above objective. The bulk chemical composition has been determined under the method devised by E.V. Arinushkina. Recalculations and ratios have been used to analyse data on the bulk chemical composition of soils. Our article provides the results of the study of bulk chemical composition of cambisols located beneath beech and the coniferous virgin forests. Changes occurred in this, one of the most conservative, soil substance, under the influence of phytocenotic diversity of virgin forest ecosystems and soil species, are analysed, the nature and direction of changes as well as their main regularities are identified. Molecular ratios for the genetic soil horizons are calculated since they testify the removal of elements outside the soil profile boundaries and are the main factor used to assess the direction of cambisols soil-forming process. The article considers the content of constitutional water and the ratio of change in the siliceous soil part. Results obtained allow suggesting intrinsic weathering in the soils under study. Major reasons of changes in the bulk chemical composition of virgin forest cambisols are caused by the character of vegetation, its aggressiveness with respect to the soil mineral content, by climatic features that affect processes of soil formation in mountainous areas depending on the vertical zonality, and by the composition of soil-forming rocks being the substrate for the studied soils. SiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , Fe_2O_3 oxides form the predominant bulk chemical composition of virgin forest cambisols in the Ukrainian Carpathians. Their total content ranges from 65.59 to 87.56 %. The mineral base of virgin forest cambisols is SiO_2 and its content in virgin forest cambisols amounts up to 63.46 - 75.03 %, Al_2O_3 sesquioxide content is 13.16 - 17.14 %, Fe_2O_3 content is 4.25 - 6.83 %. Molecular ratios in cambisols located beneath the beech virgin forests postulate the removal of sesquioxides out from a soil profile. For instance, the ratios of $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ in beech virgin forests cambisols are 42.8 - 44.61 and they decrease sharply at the soil profile bottom to 26.35, i.e. the removal of Fe_2O_3 sesquioxide out from a soil profile is observed. The molar ratio of $\text{SiO}_2/\text{R}_2\text{O}_3$ in cambisols located beneath coniferous virgin forests is narrower than in beech virgin forest cambisols and amounts up to 5.64 - 5.81, which is due to the lower content of SiO_2 oxide and higher number of Fe_2O_3 and Al_2O_3 sesquioxides. The analysis of leach factor indices shows that leaching of Calcium and Magnesium oxides is observed in these soils. However, leaching in cambisols located beneath the beech virgin forests is less intense than in cambisols located beneath the coniferous virgin forests. Leaching of Sodium and Potassium oxides in cambisols located beneath the beech virgin forests is minor, and in cambisols located beneath the coniferous virgin forests is weakly expressed.

Keywords: bulk chemical composition, cambisols, virgin forests, sesquioxides, leach factor, constitutional water, ratio of change in the siliceous soil part, intra-soil weathering.

Особливості валового хімічного складу буроземів (Cambisols) пралісів Українських Карпат

Войтків П.С., Іванов Є.А.

Львівський національний університет імені Івана Франка, Львів, voitkivpetro@gmail.com; eugen_ivanov@email.ua

Анотація. Речовинний склад є однією з найважливіших складових аналізу ґрунтів, який дозволяє встановити валовий або елементний склад, отримати уявлення про загальний вміст хімічних елементів по генетичних горизонтах ґрунтового профілю порівняно з ґрунотвірною породою, виявити напрямки процесів ґрунотворення, тобто, встановити генезис ґрунтів. Метою дослідження було вивчення особливостей валового хімічного складу (ВХС) буроземів (cambisols за WRB) під різними пралісовими екосистемами та їх зміни, спричинені складом ґрунотвірних порід, особливостями гірського рельєфу і кліматичних умов. Об'єктом дослідження є буроземи пралісових (букових і смерекових) екосистем, які сформовані на елювії-делювії флішу з переважанням пісковиків, аргілітів та алевролітів. Предметом дослідження є валовий хімічний склад буроземів букових та смерекових пралісів Українських Карпат та його трансформація. Використано порівняльно-географічний, порівняльно-профільний, аналітичний та статистичний методи. Валовий хімічний склад визначено за методом Е. В. Арінущкіної. Для аналізу даних валового хімічного складу ґрунтів використовують перерахунки і коефіцієнти. Наведено результати дослідження валового хімічного складу буроземів під смерековими і буковими пралісами. Проаналізовано зміни, які відбулися в цій, одній з найконсервативніших субстанцій ґрунтів, під впливом фітоценотичної різноманітності пралісових екосистем та ґрунотвірних порід, встановлено характер і напрямок цих змін, а також їхні головні закономірності. Розраховано молекулярні відношення для генетичних горизонтів ґрунтів, які свідчать про винесення елементів за межі ґрунтового профілю, що є основним для оцінки напрямку буроземного процесу ґрунотворення. Проаналізовано вміст конституційної води і коефіцієнт зміни силікатної частини ґрунту, які дають можливість говорити про протікання процесу внутрішньоґрунтового вивітрювання в досліджуваних ґрунтах. Головні причини змін валового хімічного складу буроземів пралісів спричинені характером рослинного покриву, його агресивності відносно мінеральної частини ґрунту, кліматичними особливостями, які по-різному впливають відносно висотної поясності, на процеси ґрунотворення в гірських місцевостях та самим складом ґрунотвірних порід, на яких утворилися досліджувані ґрунти. Основу валового хімічного складу буроземів пралісів Українських Карпат становлять оксиди SiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , Fe_2O_3 . Сумарний їх вміст коливається в межах 65,59–87,56%. Основу мінеральної частини буроземів пралісів становить SiO_2 , вміст якого становить 63,46–75,03%, вміст півтораоксиду Al_2O_3 становить 13,16–17,14%, а Fe_2O_3 – 4,25–6,83%. Молекулярні відношення в буроземах під буковими пралісами констатують винесення півтораоксидів з ґрунтового профілю. Зокрема, відношення $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ у буроземах букових пралісів становлять 42,89–44,61, з різким зменшенням у нижній частині ґрунтового профілю до 26,35, тобто простежується винесення півтораоксиду Fe_2O_3 з ґрунтового профілю. У буроземах під смерековими пралісами молярне відношення $\text{SiO}_2/\text{R}_2\text{O}_3$ вужче, ніж у буроземах під буковими пралісами – 5,64–5,81, що пов'язано з меншою кількістю оксиду SiO_2 і більшою кількістю півтораоксидів Fe_2O_3 та Al_2O_3 . Аналіз показників фактору вилугування засвідчує, що в даних ґрунтах спостерігається вилугування оксидів кальцію і магнію. Однак в буроземах під буковим пралісом вилугування відбувається менш інтенсивно, ніж в буроземах під смерековими пралісами. Вилугування оксидів натрію і калію в буроземі під буковим пралісом незначне, у ґрунтах під смерековим пралісом – слабвиражене.

Ключові слова: валовий хімічний склад, буроземи, праліси, півтораоксиди, фактор вилугування, конституційна вода, коефіцієнт зміни силікатної частини ґрунту, внутрішньоґрунтове вивітрювання.

Introduction. In a process of soil formation, the soil undergoes constant changes reflected in changes in its morphological characteristics, physical and physico-chemical properties as well as in changes in its bulk chemical composition. Material composition is one of the most vital components of soil analysis, which allows to determine the bulk or chemical composition, to get an insight of the total content of chemical elements by the genetic horizons of a soil profile against the soil-forming rock, and to identify the direction of soil formation processes, that is, to establish the genesis of soils. In addition, the analysis results allow the establishment of reserves of certain elements found in the genetic horizons of a soil profile (Arinushkina, 1970; Gerasimov, Glazovskaya, 1960).

The study objective was to identify bulk chemical composition specifics peculiar to cambisols located beneath different virgin forest ecosystems as well as its change caused by various climatic features affecting the processes of soil formation in mountainous areas depending on the vertical zonality and by the composition of soil-forming rocks being the substrate for the studied soils. The problem is partially revealed in the works of American scientists (Gleixner et al., 2009; Perry et al., 2012). The study sub-

ject is cambisol of virgin (beech and coniferous) ecosystems formed at the eluvium-deluvium flysch with prevailing sandstones, argillites and siltstones. The study scope is bulk chemical composition of beech and coniferous forest cambisols within the Ukrainian Carpathians and its transformation. The results of the study will contribute to the comparison of cambisols of virgin forest (undisturbed) phytocenoses with cambisols of anthropogenically disturbed ones. Changes in the content of chemical elements have been established to improve the composition and forest cultivation properties of disturbed soils.

Material and methods. Data on bulk chemical composition of cambisols located beneath the beech (the Uzhanskyi National Nature Park (NNP)) and coniferous virgin forests (the Carpathian Biosphere Reserve (CBR)) have been considered (Fig. 1). Comparative-geographical, comparative-profile, analytical and statistical methods have been used accounting for the above objective. The problems of identification of virgin forests in the Ukrainian Carpathians have been studied (Volosyanchuk et al., 2018). The actual issue is the mapping of virgin forests (Spracklen, Spracklen, 2019) and analysis of forest cover changes using remote methods (Kuemmerle et al., 2009).

The bulk chemical composition has been determined under the method devised by E. Arinushkina. Recalculations and ratios have been used to analyse data on the bulk chemical composition of soils. They pose the base for assessing processes capable to trigger changes in chemical composition of soils mineral part in terms of soils genesis. The underlying mode used for re-calculating of data of bulk chemical analysis is recalculation by the dry soil basis. Data are submitted in percentage form, i.e. relatively to content of various elements and their compounds. Upon re-calculating, the obtained data are correlated with the results of the bulk chemical composition of a soil-forming rock to determine changes in soils chemical composition occurred during the soil formation process. However, it is possible to do so only if these soils form on a uniform rock. Some limitations are present due to the fact that changes in chemical composition of the certain horizons should be testified by a soil-forming process itself rather than attributed to the rock uniformity (Myakina, Arinushkina, 1979).

the upper soil horizons. According to the research by I. Gogolev, a bulk analysis mostly states the event of removing of sesquioxides not only from the upper horizons but also out from the soil profile in general. The constant down-section decrease in $\text{SiO}_2:\text{R}_2\text{O}_3$ molecular ratio right to the parent rock stratum testify the above. Usually, the value of $\text{SiO}_2:\text{R}_2\text{O}_3$ ratio in the upper soil horizons is circa 6.0 with occasional increase up to 10 - 11. According to the data by I. Gogolev, this ratio is circa 4.0 in a parent rock. Downwards the soil profile, the value of $\text{SiO}_2:\text{R}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{SiO}_2:\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ratios decreases confirming the removal of Ferrum and Aluminium during cambisols formation process. The grade of sesquioxides removal is uneven. Besides, within the Carpathians it is sometimes possible to locate cambisols, which bulk analysis does not show the removal of R_2O_3 . In the coniferous forest cambisols the molecular ratio of $\text{SiO}_2:\text{R}_2\text{O}_3 = 11$ and in general it remains constant throughout the entire profile, i.e. sesquioxides seem to be stable. However, no such common brown mountain-forest soil sections featur-



Fig. 1. Data on bulk chemical composition of cambisols of the Transcarpathian virgin forest

Results and their analysis. The results of bulk chemical composition of cambisols found within the study territory do not essentially differ from the results presented in literature (Gogolev, 1965, 1986; Kanivets, 1991; Pasternak, 1967, 1968). Typically, researchers engaged in studying the Carpathian cambisols believe that in the process of cambisols formation sesquioxides, including Ferrum, are accumulated in

ing sesquioxides accumulation in the upper horizons have been identified yet (Gogolev, 1986).

The correlation of bulk chemical analysis data to a morphological description of soil profiles allows for the conclusion that the more a soil is gradually developed, less gravelly, and that the thicker a soil profile is, the more expressed the process of sesquioxides removal is demonstrated in it. Cambisols character-

ised by a high gravel contents throughout the entire profile are young. The bulk analyses justify that during cambisols formation process under the Carpathian conditions sesquioxides do not accumulate, but rather remove out from a soil profile. Moreover, the removal grade in most common cobbly gravelly cambisols is relatively low; it far less than in podzolic soils (Rode, 1937).

The research of bulk chemical composition by P. Pasternak has not testified significant differences in the content of Silicium in a soil profile. In soil sections the varying accumulation of Silicium in the upper horizons is observed as compared to the parent rock, which illustrates the presence of phenomena similar to podzolisation (Pasternak, 1967). The soil beneath a wet coniferous ramen demonstrates an increased Silicium content by 2 - 4 % as compared to schist. Ferrum sesquioxide composition can be used to identify soils, in which a soil profile including an upper part of the parent rock decreases downwards. In different downstream profile sections the content of Fe_2O_3 significantly increases. Certain removal of Al_2O_3 is observed; its highest content is identified in the illuvial horizon (Pasternak, 1968). P. Pasternak believes that there is no distinct regularity of CaO distribution in a soil profile. In general, CaO is accumulated in the upper horizons while in other horizons it gradually migrates downwards a soil profile. This applies to the soils occurred in smooth slopes conditions. Perhaps, such irrelevant distribution of CaO is explained by a varied composition of soil-forming rocks. In several sections the content of MgO decreases in horizon $A_2(\text{Hp})$ and increases in the illuvial horizon, which is typical for podzolic soils. As P. Pasternak affirms, the content of K_2O in soil profiles varies insignificantly.

So, if we consider a bulk composition, the promoted distribution of Silicium and sesquioxides by the type representative of podzolisation process is observed only in some sections. These sections show a clear evidence of SiO_2 accumulation and decrease in Ferrum and Aluminium contents in the illuvial horizon (Pasternak, 1968). Upon correlating chemical composition of soils located beneath different forest types P. Pasternak states that there are no traces of redistribution of oxides typical for podzolisation processes in soils of pure and beech forests. These soils are characterised by Fe_2O_3 expressed accumulation in horizons A1 and A2 as compared to horizon B (Pasternak, 1968).

The results of bulk chemical composition analysis conducted for all cambisols located beneath the beech forests testify that soil horizons, as compared to soil-forming rock, are enriched with Silicium. In a

humus horizon, the content of Silicium amounts up to 105 - 110% from its content in the parent rock (Pasternak, 1968). P. Pasternak explains a possible increase of SiO_2 in a humus horizon by its accumulation due to plant litter decomposition. Several authors point to the possibility of Silicium biological accumulation in the upper horizons. B. Polynov conducted a study to define biological accumulation of Silicium in soils covered with beeches, hornbeams, chinquapin trees in the Ajaria region. He showed that plants absorb alumina somewhat more intensively than it returns to the soil thus explaining the fact of Silicium relative accumulation in soil horizons containing plant roots (Polynov, 1944). Data on bulk chemical analysis received by V. Kanivets justify the uniformity of mountain-meadow and mountain-forest cambisols. Despite the fact that these soils are formed on different rocks, their chemical composition is almost the same. Bulk analyses of cambisols conducted for all climatic zones including mountain-forest ones illustrate that humus-accumulative horizon poor on Calcium and Magnesium are simultaneously the most eluviated in respect of R_2O_3 (Ferrum above all) as well as Calcium, Sodium and other alkaline earth elements (Kanivets, 1991).

The results of bulk chemical composition analysis completed for cambisols located beneath the beech and the coniferous virgin forests are shown in a form of percentage from the dry soil basis and roasted soil basis, presented in the Tables 1 and 2.

The bulk chemical composition of the beech virgin forests soils in the Ukrainian Carpathians shown in a form of percentage from the dry soil basis is as follows: oxides of Silicium (SiO_2), Aluminium (Al_2O_3), Ferrum (Fe_2O_3) (Table 1). Their content ranges from 77.23 to 87.56% in cambisols of the beech virgin forests within the Uzhanskyi NNP. In the coniferous virgin forests cambisols within the Chornohora massif their content ranges from 65.59 to 84.98%. Silicium oxide prevails in all studied cambisols. In the beech virgin forests cambisols its content is 62.05 - 72.30% while in the coniferous virgin forests cambisols it amounts up to 49.76 - 63.69%, which is associated with the increased content of "aggressive" fractions of fulvic acids in the cambisols under coniferous virgin forests as opposed to beech virgin forests.

However, BCC data shown in a form of percentage from the dry soil basis do not completely reflect changes in chemical composition of soils occurred subsequently to the formation of the last one. In order to make more detailed reflection of changes in both chemical composition and profile differentiation of elements constituting mineral part of studied soils,

Table 1. Bulk chemical composition of virgin forest cambisols (Ukrainian Carpathians), % from the dry soil basis (Voitkiv, Pozniak, 2009)

Cross-section, location	Horizons	Sampling depth, cm	Hygroscopic moisture, %	Loss on ignition, %	SiO ₂	TiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	FeO	CaO	MgO	MnO	K ₂ O	Na ₂ O	P ₂ O ₅	SO ₃	Total
Cambisols: mid-deep, mid-loamy at the flysch eluvium-deluvium with prevailing sandstone (beech virgin forest (200 - 250 years))																	
Uzhanskyi NNP, Landscape unit Solianske, range Yavornyk	H(t)	5 - 15	4.33	13.95	62.05	0.66	11.32	3.86	0.53	0.19	0.04	0.05	1.95	1.16	0.20	0.11	100.40
	Hp(t)	15 - 31	3.02	7.32	67.64	0.83	12.46	4.12	0.42	0.15	0.05	0.05	2.15	1.16	0.13	0.18	99.68
	HPt	31 - 51	2.58	6.00	70.53	0.76	12.87	4.16	0.35	0.23	0.08	0.09	1.61	0.91	0.11	0.16	100.44
	Pht	51 - 88	2.15	4.78	68.59	0.82	14.42	4.05	0.57	0.20	0.05	0.08	2.36	1.28	0.10	0.14	99.59
	P(h)t	88 - 108	2.00	5.07	64.58	0.82	16.27	6.48	0.41	0.19	0.04	0.60	2.41	1.08	0.07	0.15	99.63
Cambisols: shallow, mid-loamy, mid-gravelly at the eluvium-deluvium flysch with prevailing argillites and siltstones (coniferous forest (age: 150 - 200 years))																	
Carpathian Biosphere Reserve, Chornohora massif	H(t)	3 - 8	7.92	21.59	49.76	0.46	12.3	3.53	0.69	0.14	0.04	0.03	2.07	0.9	0.14	0.16	99.73
	Hp(t)	8 - 21	3.78	8.68	62.37	0.55	15.12	5.5	0.45	0.18	0.08	0.07	2.35	0.94	0.15	0.20	100.42
	HPt	21 - 33	3.40	7.25	63.44	0.60	15.04	5.47	0.44	0.10	0.02	0.08	2.69	0.94	0.14	0.16	99.77
	Pht	33 - 46	4.27	6.54	62.94	0.59	15.18	6.11	0.38	0.18	0.08	0.08	2.30	0.71	0.12	0.08	99.56
	P(h)t	46 - 66	3.33	6.49	63.69	0.50	15.57	5.72	0.37	0.25	0.02	0.07	2.74	0.88	0.12	0.27	100.02

Table 2. Bulk chemical composition of virgin forest cambisols (Ukrainian Carpathians), % from the dry soil basis (Voitkiv, Pozniak, 2009)

Location	Horizons	Sampling depth, cm	SiO ₂	TiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	FeO	CaO	MgO	MnO	K ₂ O	Na ₂ O	P ₂ O ₅	SO ₃
Cambisols: mid-deep, hard-loamy, light-gravelly at the eluvium-deluvium flysch with prevailing sandstone (beech virgin forest (200 - 250 years))														
Uzhanskyi NNP, Landscape unit Solianske, range Yavornyk	H(t)	5 - 15	72.11	0.77	13.16	4.49	0.62	0.22	0.05	0.06	2.27	1.35	0.23	0.13
	Hp(t)	15 - 31	72.98	0.90	13.44	4.45	0.45	0.16	0.05	0.05	2.32	1.25	0.14	0.19
	HPt	31 - 51	75.03	0.81	13.69	4.43	0.37	0.25	0.09	0.10	1.71	0.97	0.12	0.17
	Pht	51 - 88	72.03	0.86	15.14	4.25	0.60	0.21	0.05	0.08	2.48	1.34	0.11	0.15
	P(h)t	88 - 108	68.03	0.86	17.14	6.83	0.43	0.20	0.04	0.63	2.54	1.14	0.07	0.16
Cambisols: shallow, mid-loamy, mid-gravelly at the eluvium-deluvium flysch with prevailing argillites and siltstones (coniferous forests (age: 150 - 200 years))														
Carpathian Biosphere Reserve (CBR), Chornohora massif	H(t)	3 - 8	63.46	0.59	15.69	4.50	0.88	0.18	0.05	0.04	2.64	1.15	0.18	0.20
	Hp(t)	8 - 21	68.30	0.60	16.56	6.02	0.493	0.20	0.09	0.08	2.57	1.03	0.16	0.22
	HPt	21 - 33	68.40	0.65	16.22	5.90	0.47	0.11	0.02	0.09	2.90	1.01	0.15	0.17
	Pht	33 - 46	67.34	0.63	16.24	6.54	0.41	0.19	0.09	0.09	2.46	0.76	0.13	0.09
	P(h)t	46 - 66	68.11	0.54	16.65	6.12	0.40	0.27	0.02	0.08	2.93	0.94	0.13	0.29

the BCC results have been re-calculated basing on roasted soil (Table 2).

SiO₂ is considered to be the base of mineral part of virgin forest cambisols. For instance, in the beech virgin forests cambisols within the Uzhanskyi NNP at the depths of 51 - 88 cm the content of SiO₂ ranges from 72.03 to 75.03%. Downwards a profile the relative content of Silicium decreases up to 68.03%. The decrease of SiO₂ relative content towards a soil-forming rock is caused by the concurrent increase in the relative content of sesquioxides, Aluminium sesquioxide (Al₂O₃) in particular.

As data in Table 2 suggest, the bulk content of Al₂O₃ in the upper horizons is 13.16 - 13.69% and reaches its maximum at 31 - 51 cm depth, where it ranges from 15.14 to 17.14%. The content of Fe₂O₃ sesquioxide in this soil is somewhat low. It ranges from 4.25 to 6.83% and increases towards a soil-forming rock. The distribution of sesquioxides in the coniferous virgin forest cambisols is marginally different. In the upper humus horizon the content of SiO₂ amounts up to 63.46%, it slightly increases up to 67.34 - 68.40% with depth. The content of Al₂O₃ sesquioxide is 15.69 - 16.65%, the content of Fe₂O₃ is 4.50 - 6.54%. The volume of FeO in these soils is almost the same. The results of the data see, its highest content, i.e. 0.40 - 0.88%, is detected in cambisols located beneath the coniferous virgin forests; in beech virgin forest cambisols it is lower and ranges from 0.43 to 0.46%.

The content of Calcium and Magnesium in most studied soils can be characterized as low with some exceptions. In cambisols of beech and coniferous virgin forests the content of MgO is 0.02 - 0.09%, which is rather low. The content of Calcium contained in beech virgin forest cambisols, namely in the upper profile part, is 0.22% (low) and it increases up to 0.25% with depth. In coniferous virgin forest cambisols the content of Calcium oxide in the upper humus horizons ranges from 0.18 to 0.20% whilst in the middle profile part it decreases up to 0.11%. At the depth of 33 - 46 cm a moderate increase in CaO content up to 0.19 - 0.27% is observed.

The content of MnO is very low. In cambisols beneath the beech and coniferous virgin forests it ranges from 0.04 to 0.10 (except horizon P(h)t - 0.63%). The content of TiO₂ in these soils is almost the same; values vary from 0.52 to 0.90%. The content of K₂O in beech virgin forests cambisols ranges from 1.71 to 2.54%. In the upper horizons of beech virgin forests cambisols values are 2.27 - 2.32%. At the depth of 31 - 51 cm they decrease up to 1.71% with the subsequent increase up to 2.54% with depth. The bulk content of

Sodium oxide (Na₂O) in beech virgin forests cambisols is 0.97 - 1.35% with minimal values in the middle soil profile part. In coniferous virgin forest cambisols the bulk content of K₂O is 2.46–2.93%, the content of Na₂O is 0.76 - 1.15%. The profile distribution of K₂O is uneven. In the upper horizons the bulk content is 2.57 - 2.64%; at the depth of 21 - 33 cm it increases up to 2.90%. Downwards a profile the content of Potassium oxide decreases and sharply increases up to 2.93%. The distribution of Na₂O is characterised by a gradual decrease of the bulk content with depth. The content of P₂O₅ in beech virgin forest cambisols is 0.07 - 0.23%. In coniferous virgin forest cambisols the content of P₂O₅ is rather low and ranges from 0.13 to 0.27%. In these profiles the distribution is characterised by a gradual decrease in the content towards a soil-forming rock. The bulk content of SO₃ in studied soils is uneven and in beech virgin forest cambisols it ranges from 0.13 to 0.32 while in coniferous virgin forest cambisols it varies from 0.09 to 0.29%. The profile distribution of Sulphur oxide is uneven (Table 2). In beech virgin forest cambisols within the Uzhanskyi NNP the content of SO₃ decreases gradually but in coniferous virgin forest cambisols it reaches 0.20 - 0.22% in the upper humus horizons with stepwise decrease with depth. Starting from the depth of 46 - 66 cm an increase in SO₃ content up to 0.29% is observed (Voitkiv, Pozniak, 2009).

Molecular ratios calculated for genetic soil horizons suggest the removal and accumulation of elements, which is essential to assess the direction of soil-forming processes (Boul, Whole, McCracken, 1977). We have calculated molecular ratios for SiO₂/R₂O₃, SiO₂/Al₂O₃ i SiO₂/Fe₂O₃ and they testify unevenness of chemical composition of the soil mineral part (Table 3).

As for cambisols located beneath the beech virgin forests within the Uzhanskyi NNP the bulk analyses state that sesquioxides are removed from a soil profile. In the upper soil horizons the ratio of SiO₂:R₂O₃ is 7.59 - 7.71, in the lower part it decreases to 5.37 - 6.81. The ratio of SiO₂/Fe₂O₃ in beech virgin forest cambisols is almost equal, i.e. 42.89 - 44.61, and it sharply increases in the lower horizon P(h)t up to 26.35, which means that Ferrum sesquioxide is removed from a soil profile. The molecular ratio of Al₂O₃/Fe₂O₃ testifies that Aluminium sesquioxide prevails in the soil and it is regularly distributed throughout a profile. In cambisols located beneath the coniferous virgin forests within the Chornohora massif the molar ratio of SiO₂/R₂O₃ is narrower than in beech virgin forest cambisols and it ranges from 5.64 to 5.81. This is mainly due to the lower amount

Table 3. Profile differentiation indicators of virgin forest cambisols (Ukrainian Carpathians)

Location	Genetic horizon	Sampling depth, cm	$\frac{\text{SiO}_2}{\text{R}_2\text{O}_3}$	$\frac{\text{SiO}_2}{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}$	$\frac{\text{SiO}_2}{\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3}$	$\frac{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}{\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3}$	$\frac{\text{CaO}+\text{MgO}+\text{Na}_2\text{O}+\text{K}_2\text{O}}{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}$	$\frac{\text{Na}_2\text{O}+\text{K}_2\text{O}}{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}$	Leach Factor	$\frac{\text{MgO}+\text{CaO}}{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}$	Leach Factor
Cambisols: mid-deep, hard-loamy, light-gravelly at the eluvium-deluvium flysch with prevailing sandstone (beech virgin forest (age: 200 - 250 years))											
Uzhanskyi NNP, Landscape unit Solianske, range Yavornyk	H(t)	5 - 15	7.65	9.31	42.89	4.61	0.30	0.27	1.28	0.02	1.44
	Hp(t)	15 - 31	7.59	9.20	43.39	4.71	0.28	0.27	1.28	0.02	1.41
	HPt	31 - 51	7.71	9.32	44.61	4.79	0.22	0.20	0.95	0.02	1.71
	Pht	51 - 88	6.81	8.05	44.41	5.52	0.27	0.25	1.19	0.02	1.23
	P(h)t	88 - 108	5.37	6.74	26.35	3.91	0.23	0.21	1.00	0.01	1.00
Cambisols: shallow, mid-loamy, mid-gravelly at the eluvium-deluvium flysch with prevailing argillites and siltstones (coniferous forest (age: 150 - 200 years))											
Carpathian Bio- sphere Reserve, Chornohora massif	H(t)	3 - 8	5.81	6.86	37.75	5.50	0.26	0.24	1.04	0.01	0.84
	Hp(t)	8 - 21	5.69	7.02	29.92	4.26	0.23	0.22	0.95	0.02	0.99
	HPt	21 - 33	5.81	7.16	30.78	4.30	0.25	0.24	1.04	0.01	0.46
	Pht	33 - 46	5.61	7.05	27.34	3.88	0.22	0.20	0.87	0.02	0.99
	P(h)t	46 - 66	5.64	6.96	29.84	4.29	0.25	0.23	1.00	0.02	1.00

of Silicon oxide and greater amount of Ferrum and Aluminum sesquioxides (Table 3).

Except for the molar sesquioxides ratio, we have calculated molar ratios for the alkaline earth metals in soils: $\text{Na}_2\text{O}+\text{K}_2\text{O}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{CaO}+\text{MgO}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$. Their values served the base to calculate a “leach factor” proposed by H. Jenny (1931). The received indices testify on Calcium and Magnesium leach in relation to Al_2O_3 found in beech virgin forest cambisols within the Uzhanskyi NNP (Voitkiv, Pozniak, 2009). Leach factor values indicate an insignificant increase in the middle profile part, i.e. leaching occurs starting from the upper horizons. Leach factor values of Calcium and Magnesium in coniferous virgin forest cambisols within the Chornohora massif indicate the fact of leaching from the middle soil part, which is caused by intensive processes of intra-soil weathering. Leach factor values for Na^+ and K^+ in relation to Al_2O_3 found in beech virgin forest cambisols testify insignificant leaching, in coniferous virgin forest cambisols leaching is expressed weakly (Table 3).

An analysis of the scientific literature on the bulk chemical composition of cambisols indicates that in most cases authors do not provide data on the content of constitutional water in soils (Andrushchenko, 1970; Pasternak, 1967). According to O. Rode (1984),

it is obligatory to determine chemically bound water parameters in the course of a bulk chemical analysis of soils. The content of constitutional water has been calculated by the difference between the amount of loss as a result of ignition and humus percentage composition. The received constitutional water content has been converted into a molecular amount (Table 4). Table 4 shows the change indicator in respect of a soil siliceous part, obtained by dividing the molecular amount of constitutional water in one or another soil horizon by its content in the rock. As it is seen, the content of constitutional water in the most upper humus horizon of studied cambisols located beneath the beech and coniferous virgin forests are characterised by a high content, in particular its values range from 6.82 to 8.50%. The change ratio of siliceous part is 1.73 - 2.01% (Voitkiv, Pozniak, 2009).

It worth to note that the content of constitutional water downstream a cambisols profile in the coniferous virgin forests is somewhat higher and thus the change ratio for a soil siliceous part is greater than 1, which testify the escalation of intra-soil weathering processes throughout the whole profile. In beech virgin forest cambisols the content of constitutional water reaches its height in humus horizon H(t). The change ratio value for a soil siliceous part is 1.73,

Table 4. Content of constitutional water in cambisols of virgin forests (Ukrainian Carpathians) (Voitkiv, Pozniak, 2009)

Cross-section, location	Horizons	Sampling depth, cm	Hygroscopic moisture, %	Loss on ignition, %	Humus, %	Constitutional water, %	Molecular quan- tity H ₂ O	Ratio of change in the siliceous part
Cambisols: mid-deep mid-loamy at the eluvium-deluvium flysch with prevailing sandstone (beech virgin forest (age: 200 - 250 years))								
Uzhanskyi NNP, Land- scape unit Solianske, range Yavornyk	H(t)	5 - 15	4.33	13.95	7.13	6.82	379	1.73
	Hp(t)	15 - 31	3.02	7.32	4.00	3.32	184	0.84
	HPt	31 - 51	2.58	6.00	2.61	3.39	188	0.86
	Pht	51 - 88	2.15	4.78	1.35	3.43	191	0.87
	P(ht)	88 - 108	2.00	5.07	1.12	3.95	219	1.00
Cambisols: shallow, mid-loamy, mid-gravelly at the eluvium-deluvium flysch with prevailing argillites and siltstones (coniferous forests (age: 150 - 200 years))								
Carpathian Biosphere Reserve, Chornohora massif	H(t)	3 - 8	7.92	21.59	13.09	8.50	472	2.01
	H(t)	8 - 21	3.78	8.68	3.90	4.78	266	1.13
	Hpt	21 - 33	3.40	7.25	2.89	4.36	242	1.03
	Pht	33 - 45	4.27	6.54	2.38	4.28	238	1.01
	P(ht)	45 - 66	3.33	6.49	2.26	4.23	235	1.00

which testify the escalation of intra-soil weathering processes; downstream a profile the content of constitutional water is almost constant, the coefficient is less than one.

Conclusions. The analysis of study results of bulk chemical composition of beech and coniferous virgin forests cambisols located within the Ukrainian Carpathians allows to make conclusions as follows:

1. Bulk chemical composition reflects the conditions of soil formation within the study territory and manifestation of both past and present soil-forming processes.

2. Silicium oxide (SiO₂), Aluminium oxide (Al₂O₃) and Ferrum oxide (Fe₂O₃) are the base for bulk chemical composition of virgin forest cambisols within the Ukrainian Carpathians. Their total content ranges from 77.23 to 87.56% in beech virgin forest cambisols and from 65.59 to 84.98% in coniferous virgin forest cambisols. The base for the mineral part of virgin forest cambisols is SiO₂, which content in beech virgin forest cambisols is 68.03 - 75.03%; the bulk content of Al₂O₃ sesquioxide is 13.16 - 17.14% and for Ferrum (Fe₂O₃) - 4.25-6.83%. In coniferous virgin forest cambisols the content of SiO₂ is 63.46 - 68.40%, for Al₂O₃ sesquioxide is 15.69 - 16.65% and for Fe₂O₃ is 4.50 - 6.54%.

3. The calculated molecular ratios in cambisols located beneath the beech virgin forests confirm the removal of sesquioxide out from a soil profile. The ratio of SiO₂/Fe₂O₃ in the beech virgin forests

is 42.89 - 44.61 and it sharply increases in the lower part of a soil profile up to 26.35 testifying the removal of Ferrum sesquioxide out from a soil profile. The molecular ratio of Al₂O₃/Fe₂O₃ indicates the prevalence of Aluminium sesquioxide over Ferrum in soil and its even distribution throughout a profile.


4. Leach factor indicators testify that Calcium and Magnesium oxides leaching is observed in study soils. However, in beech virgin forest cambisols leaching is less intensive than in coniferous virgin forests cambisols. Leaching of Sodium and Potassium oxides in cambisols located beneath the beech virgin forests is insignificant, and in cambisols located beneath the coniferous virgin forests is expressed weakly.

5. The escalation of intra-soil weathering processes is observed in the upper part of a humus horizon of beech virgin forest cambisols and throughout the whole profile of coniferous virgin forest cambisols, which is testified by an increased content of constitutional water and change ratio of siliceous soil part. In particular, the content of constitutional water in the most upper humus horizon of studied cambisols located beneath the beech and coniferous virgin forests is characterized by a high content, i.e. 6.82 - 8.50%. The change ratio of siliceous part is 1.73 - 2.01%. Downstream a profile the content of constitutional water in cambisols beneath the beech virgin forests is somewhat higher and thus the change ratio for a soil siliceous part is greater than 1. In beech virgin forests cambisols the content of constitutional

water reaches its height in a humus horizon where the change ratio of a siliceous part is 1.73. The purpose of the study was achieved. The results of the study will contribute to the comparison of cambisols of virgin forest phytocenoses with cambisols of anthropogenically disturbed ones. Changes in the content of chemical elements have been established to improve the composition and forest cultivation properties of disturbed soils.

References

- Andrushchenko, G.O., 1970. Grunty Zakhidnykh oblastei URSR [Soils of the Western regions of the Ukrainian SSR]. Lviv–Dublyany (in Ukrainian).
- Arinushkina, E.V., 1970. Rukovodstvo po himicheskomu analizu pochv [Guidelines for Chemical Analysis of Soils]. Moscow University Press, Moscow (in Russian).
- Boul, S.W., Whole, F.D., & McCracken, R.J., 1977. Genezis i klassifikacija pochv [Soil Genesis and Classification] / translation from English by M.I. Gerasimova. Progress, Moscow (in Russian).
- Gerasimov, I.P., & Glazovskaya, M.A., 1960. Osnovy pochvovedenija i geografija pochv [Fundamentals of Soil Science and Geography of Soils]. Geografiz, Moscow (in Russian).
- Gleixner, G., Tefs C., Jordan A., Hammer, M., Wirth, C., Nueske, A., Telz, A., Schmidt, U.E., & Glatzel S., 2009. Soil Carbon Accumulation in Old-Growth Forests. *Old-Growth Forests*. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 231-266. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-92706-8>
- Gogolev, I.N., 1965. Burye gorno-lesnye pochvy Sovetskih Karpat [Brown mountain forest soils of the Soviet Carpathians] Doctoral Thesis in Agricultural Sciences, Lviv (in Russian).
- Gogolev, I.N., 1986. Pochvy Ukrainskih Karpat. Priroda Ukrainskoj SSR [Soils of the Ukrainian Carpathians. Nature of the Ukrainian SSR]. Kiev (in Russian).
- Jenny, H., 1931. Behavior of potassium and sodium during the process of soil formation. *Missouri Agric. Exp. Sta. Res. Bull.*, 162.
- Kanivets, V.I., 1991. Burozemoobrazovanie v lesnyh pochvah Ukrainskih Karpatah [Cambisols formation in Forest Soils of the Ukrainian Carpathians] *Soil Science*, 4, 19-28 (in Russian).
- Kuemmerle, T., Chaskovskyy, O., Knorn, J., Radeloff, V.C., Kruhlov, I., Keeton, W.S., & Hostert, P., 2009. Forest cover change and illegal logging in the Ukrainian Carpathians in the transition period from 1988 to 2007. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113(6), 1194-1207. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2009.02.006>
- Myakina, N.B., & Arinushkina, E.V., 1979. Metodicheskoe posobie dlja chtenija rezul'tatov himicheskikh analizov pochv [Study Guide for Reading Results of Soils Chemical Analyses] Moscow University Press, Moscow (in Russian).
- Pasternak, P.S., 1967. Lisovi grunty Ukrainskykh Karpat [Forest Soils of the Ukrainian Carpathians] Uzhhorod (in Ukrainian).
- Pasternak, P.S., 1967. Vzaimodejstvie mezhdru lesom i pochvoj v bukovyh nasazhdenijah [Interaction Between Forest and Soil in Beech Stands] *Forestry and Agricultural Afforestation*, 12, 3-11 (in Russian).
- Pasternak, P.S., 1968. Vzaimodejstvie mezhdru lesom i pochvoj v osnovnyh tipah lesa Ukrainskih Karpat [Interaction Between Forest and Soil in Main Types of Forests of the Ukrainian Carpathians] Doctoral dissertation in Agricultural Sciences. Ivano-Frankivsk, P. 2, 390-560 (in Russian).
- Perry, D.A., Griffiths, R.P., Moldenke, A.R., Madson, S.L., 2012. Abiotic and Biotic Soil Characteristics in Old Growth Forests and Thinned or Unthinned Mature Stands in Three Regions of Oregon. *Diversity*, 4, 334-362. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/d4030334>
- Polynov, B.B., 1944. Valovoj pochvennyj analiz i ego tolkovanie [Bulk Soil Analysis and Its Interpretation] *Soil Science*. 10. 482-490 (in Russian).
- Rode, A.A., 1937. Podzoloobrazovatel'nyj process [Podzol Formation Process] AS of the USSR, Moscow (in Russian).
- Rode, A.A., 1984. Sistema metodov issledovanija v pochvovedenii [System of Research Methods in Soil Science] Nauka, Novosibirsk (in Russian).
- Spracklen, B.D., & Spracklen, D.V., 2019. Identifying European Old-Growth Forests using Remote Sensing: A Study in the Ukrainian Carpathians. *Forests*, 10, 127-145. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/f10020127>
- Voitkiv, P.S., & Pozniak S.P., 2009. Burozemy pralisiv Ukrainskykh Karpat [Cambisols of Virgin Forests in Ukrainian Carpathians]. Lviv Ivan Franko National University Press, Lviv (in Ukrainian).
- Volosyanchuk, R., Prots, B., Shparyk, Y., Cherniavskyy, M., Kagalo, A., & Savchyn, V., 2018. Old Growth Forests in the Ukrainian Carpathians: Criteria and Indicators, identification methodology, and results up-to date. 5th European Congress of Conservation Biology. doi: [10.17011/conference/eccb2018/107781](https://doi.org/10.17011/conference/eccb2018/107781)



Journal of Geology, Geography and Geoecology

Journal home page: geology-dnu-dp.ua

ISSN 2617-2909 (print)
ISSN 2617-2119 (online)

Journ. Geol. Geograph.
Geology,
29(1)

doi: [10.15421/11203901](https://doi.org/10.15421/11203901)

CONTENTS

Bordun Oresta Y., Romaniv Pavlo V., Monasryrskyy Wolodymyr R. Tourism geography: functional structure and role in tourismology	233
Bricks Andriy L., Gavryliuk Ruslan B., Negoda Yuriy O. Hazard of petrochemical pollution of ponds of the “Olexandria” arboretum (Bila Tserkva)	243
Buynevich Ilya V. Detection of mineralogically accentuated biogenic structures with high-resolution geophysics: implications for ichnology and geoecology	252
Chepurnyi Ihor V., Bagriy Serhii M., Kuzmenko Eduard D., Chepurna Tetiana B. Time analysis of formation of karst manifestations within the potassium salt deposit areas of the Precarpathian region	258
Halahan Oleksandr O., Kovtoniuk Olha V., Korohoda Natalia P., Braychevskyy Yulian S. Military landscapes of the Pryvododilni Gorgany as a premise for increasing tourist attractiveness of the area (the case of the Chorna Klyva mountain)	269
Hrushka Viktor V., Horozhankina Natalia A., Horb Constantin M. Tourist potential of Cherkasy region	279
Imamverdiyev Nazim A., Gasanguliyeva Minakhanym Y., Kerimov Vagif M., Ulker I. Kerimli. Issues of origin of neogenous volcanism of the Lesser Caucasus (Azerbaijan)	289
Khilchevskyy Valentyn K., Sherstyuk Natalia P., Zabokrytska Myroslava R. Review of Research of the chemical composition of surface waters of Ukraine (1920-2020)	304
Kyselov Yuriy O. On some methodological and metatheoretical issues of geosophy	327
Lozynskyy Roman M., Kushniruk Halina V. Dynamics and geographical structure of inbound tourism in political transit countries: case of Ukraine	335
Manyuk Volodymyr V. Hercinian folded structures of the valley of the Mokra Volnovakha River as the basis of a Geological park at the border of Donbass and the Ukrainian Shield	351
Olishevskaya Yulia A. Murals as the newest tourist resources: the case of Kyiv	364
Popova Olga L., Koval Viktor V., Mikhno Inesa S., Olga L. Haltsova, Asaulenko Natalya V. Assessments of Ukrainian rural tourism development in terms of sustainability and inclusion	377
Prykhodko Mykola M., Arkhypova Liudmyla M., Hora Liliana T., Kozhushko Svitlana P. Concept of ecosystem services and its implementation in Ukraine	387
Rovenchak Ivan I., Yaroshevych Mariia A. Geospatial distribution of gas storage capacities within the East European gas hub	398

<i>Sardak Sergii E., Krupskiy Oleksandr P., Dzhyndzhoian Vladimir V., Sardak Margarita O., Naboka Yuriy V.</i> Development of historical and cultural tourist destinations	406
<i>Savchuk Ivan G., Zapototskyi Sergii P.</i> Cottage settlements in capital region of Ukraine	415
<i>Voitkiv Petro S., Ivanov Yevhen A.</i> Specifics of bulk chemical composition of virgin forest cambisols within the Ukrainian Carpathians	422
<i>Shumlianska Liudmyla O., Dubovenko Yurii I., Pigulevskiy Petro H.</i> 2.5 dimensional model of mantle heterogeneities under the Ukrainian shield according to the gradients of the velocities of seismic waves	431

JOURNAL OF GEOLOGY, GEOGRAPHY AND GEOECOLOGY

The Journal was founded in 1993 under the title
«Dnipropetrovsk University Bulletin. Series: Geology»
The journal, beginning in June 2018, is published under the title
«Journal of Geology, Geography and Geoecology».

Volume 29 (2)

English

Certificate of state registration of a series of KV № 23167-13007 dated February 26, 2018 in accordance with the order of the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine dated 24.05.2018 №527 (Annex 5), a printed (electronic) publication “Journal of Geology, Geography and Geoecology” is included in the List of Scientific Specialized Publications of Ukraine in the Field of Geological Sciences (included in professional editions since 2003).

The international level of the Journal obtained recognition in 2017 when it was included in the Web of Science and over 20 well-known scientific citation databases

Literary editors: Paul W. Bradbeer, Michael O. Tikhomyrov

Text Layout: Volodymyr V. Manyuk, Vadym V. Manyuk

Cover design: Vadym V. Manyuk

Підписано до друку Формат 60x84 ¹/₈. Папір друкарський. Друк плоский.
Ум. друк. арк. Тираж 100 пр. Зам. №

РВВ ДНУ, просп. Гагаріна, 72, м. Дніпро, 49010.
ПП «Ліра ЛТД», вул. Наукова, 5, м. Дніпро, 49107.
Свідоцтво про внесення до Державного реєстру
серія ДК №6042, від 26.02.2018 р.