

631. 445 (477)

1, 2, 2

1 “ ”,

2 , 49, 43010, ,

3 , 9, 43021, ,

60-

80-

() ()

[6]

50 %.

()

[1] [3]

(The World Reference Base for soil Resource (WRB), 1998) [8],

() ()

... [4],

1) “ ” ,

“ ”,

2) ; () - -

“ ”,

“ ” (1977).

[12, 9].

WRB. ()

“ ”.

() [6, 7],

... (...). : - - (...), , (...) . WRB (... , 2005; ... , 2007). , : - - - - - - - " - - " WRB, [11]. - " " , " " . (...) (...). (...) , (...) . (...) , (...) . (...) . (...) . (...) .

... ,

 ... ,
 ... ,
 ... ,
 ... ,

 ...
 ...

[3]

... ,
 - - - - -). (- - ,
 ... ,
 ... () ,
 (, , ,) ,
 () . - ,
 ... ,
 ...

...
 (. . .1).
 ... ,
 ... ,
 ...

WRB.

WRB
 (. . .2) [5, 8].

.2
 [8]

... ,

[6, 9–12]

() ,						
		-				
-		-	-	-	-	-
-		-	-			-
-	-					-
-		-	-	-		*
-		-	-	-		-
						*
-	-	-	-	-		-
						*
						*
,						*
			-			*
,		-			-	*
:						

: “*” – ; “-” – .

(: –) –)

() (. .3).


[6].

“ ” [2].

(), ,
2

WRB

	WRB	
(Albelvisols)	1	
(Greyic Luvisols)		
(Chernozems)		
(Umbric Stagnosols)		
(Gleyic Histic Fluvisols)		
(Gleysols, Histic Gleysols)		
(Histosols)		
(Umbric Leptosols)		
(Anthric Histosols)		



			(+/-) ,		(+/-) ,	
-	1,1-1,3	0,030	0,010	0,82	0,27	
	1,3-1,5	0,055	0,015	1,48	0,52	
	1,1-1,5	0,095	0,015	2,50	0,50	
-	1,5-1,8	0,027	0,004	0,61	0,04	
		0,035	0,005	0,67	0,06	
-		0,045	0,005	0,77	0,07	
		0,060	0,010	0,88	0,06	
- , -	1,1-1,8	0,095*	0,020*	2,50*	0,80*	
- -		-	-	0,80*	0,08*	

: * ().

1) . () :

2) ;
()

3) , , , - ;

, , ;

4)

1. 1999. – 164 .
2. “ ”. 1:1 430 000 / . . . , . . . , – : “ ”, 2005.
3. 1:250 000 / . . . , – : “ ”, 2007.
4. // . – 2008. – .9, 3–4. – .33–40.
5. : 2 . .2 / , 2010. – 286 .
6. / . . . , – .: 2005. – 304 .
7. / . . . , . . . , – .: , 2005. – 300 .
8. 2006 / / – : , 2006. – 200 .
9. // – 2006. – 2. – .49–52.
10. / – ., 2009. – 56 .
11. . – .: , 2010. – .152–164.
12. / . . . , – : , 2004. – 342 .

:

04.06.2014
08.07.2014
10.09.2014

**MODERN CLASSIFICATIONS OF SOILS AND PROBLEM
OF THEIR REGIONAL HARMONIZATION IN UKRAINE**

Mykola Zinchuk¹, Mykhailo Shevchuk², Petro Zin'chuk²

¹ *Volhyn branch of the State Institution "Soils Protection Institute of Ukraine",*

Glushets' Str., 49, UA – 43010 Lutsk, Ukraine

² *Lesya Ukrainka Eastern European National University,*

Potapov Str., 9, UA – 43021 Lutsk, Ukraine

Question of local inconsistencies of real soil cover versus theoretical data was raised in article. One of the reasons of inconsistencies is ignoring the problem of regional peculiarities of soil differences. The situation regarding the approaches to modern classification of soils in Ukraine, near abroad, as well as at the international level was analyzed. The active development of the classification of the models in the Eastern European countries was identified. The attention is focused on the future development of parametric approaches to classification of soils of Ukraine. Methodology of regional harmonization of soil cover of the Volhyn region by authentication of predominant soil types in the modern classification and International reference base for soil resources was proposed. Periodic monitoring of state of classification areas of soils for regional harmonization of criteria for the diagnosis of soil differences, the activation of the local soil science cells for assessment of the compliance levels of scientific developments in the classification and improvement of the normative base for soil resources of Ukraine was recommended to carry out.

Key words: soils, genetic classifications, parametric classifications, taxons, soil forming processes, КРАН, КРАН.